

United States Patent and Trademark Office

A

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

CONFIRMATION NO. APPLICATION NO. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. FILING DATE 10/617,053 07/11/2003 240071US3 3048 Makoto Nonoyama **EXAMINER** 22850 04/07/2005 OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. RACHUBA, MAURINA T 1940 DUKE STREET ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314 3723

DATE MAILED: 04/07/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		<i>(</i>)
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary	10/617,053	NONOYAMA ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	M Rachuba	3723
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	on appears on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR F THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by	ION. CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a ion. s, a reply within the statutory minimum of the period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC attatute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed introduced timely. INTHS from the mailing date of this communication. INTHONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ Since this application is in condition for all	This action is non-final. Ilowance except for formal ma	
Disposition of Claims		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-6 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	10/617,053	
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.		
•		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
 a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docu 2. Certified copies of the priority docu 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International Experience 	iments have been received. Iments have been received in a priority documents have bee Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stage
Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	· —	
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-943) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/92) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	SB/08) 5) Notice of	Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 10/617,053 Page 2

Art Unit: 3723

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 22 December 2004 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claim 1 limits "selecting a predetermined grinding wheel" and the "simultaneously grinding plural grinding portions of a workpiece using plural grinding wheels". It is not clear if the predetermined grinding wheel is one of the plurality of grinding wheels later limited. Applicant should consider first limiting providing a plurality of grinding wheels and then selecting a predetermined grinding wheel from the plurality of grinding wheels before grinding begins.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 10/617,053

Art Unit: 3723

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

5. Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by EP 1,088,621, as set forth in the Office action mailed 31 March 2004. It is the examiner's position that the first grinding wheel to leave contact with the workpiece is the predetermined grinding wheel, see EP '621, for example the abstract, "The control unit controls motions of the wheel heads in according to signals provided from the gauging unit, wherein controls all of tool heads to execute a finish grinding process, controls one tool head corresponding to one portion whose diameter became a required value to back off, and controls all of tool heads to execute a spark-out process after diameter of all of the portions became each required value. Therefore, it can prevent that accuracy of one portion machined by one tool head is deteriorated by effect of machining by another wheel head."

Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claim 6 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments filed 22 December 2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues that '621 does not disclose controlling the grinding step such that grinding by a predetermined grinding wheel is terminated prior to a termination of grinding by the other grinding wheel, in that '621 must test the diameter

Application/Control Number: 10/617,053

Art Unit: 3723

of either grinding portion before selecting which wheel will stop grinding.

"Predetermine" is defined as: To determine, decide, or establish in advance: 1 There is no limitation as to how far in advance the determination must be made. Here, the predetermination is made at the time of testing the diameter of the grinding portions. Applicant has not clearly and definitely limited the step of predetermining the grinding wheel, please refer to the rejection under 35 USC 112 above.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to M Rachuba whose telephone number is 571-272-4493.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 8:30 AM to 3:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph Hail, can be reached on (703) 308-2687. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

M. RachubaPrimary Patent Examiner



¹ The American Heritage® Dictionary of the English Language, Third Edition copyright © 1992 by Houghton Mifflin Company. Electronic version licensed from INSO Corporation; further reproduction and distribution restricted in accordance with the Copyright Law of the United States. All rights reserved.